

Wayne C. Petty ^{*1}^{*} *University of Michigan, U.S.A.*¹ *pettyw@umich.edu*

Chopin's Ternary Preludes

ABSTRACT

Background

A favorite form of Chopin's, one he cultivated in many genres, was the ternary form, defined here as a three-part A1-B-A2 design with a tonally closed first part. Yet only three of the twenty-four preludes in Chopin's Op. 28 use this particular form, or a close approximation of it — the Preludes in F-sharp major, B-flat major, and D-flat major. This paper analyzes these three preludes and considers how Chopin's handling of this favorite form may have been influenced by the prelude genre in which he was writing.

In the Prelude in F-sharp major Chopin adopts the unusual procedure in section A2 of restating only the end of the consequent phrase that had closed section A1. Section A2 restates only bars 13–20 of section A1, omitting what had been the first twelve bars of the piece. What might justify such a procedure, other than perhaps a desire for a more concise form? A voice-leading analysis of the prelude reveals one possible reason. Section B has worked out an enlarged form of the primary motive that the twelve omitted bars would have restated, making it unnecessary to recapitulate those bars. In what follows, the eight bars retained in the truncated section A2 are then perfectly poised to support a more direct reprise of that primary motive in the covering descant voice. To this procedure accrues the further advantage of drawing together elements from both preceding sections, as Chopin's larger ternary forms often do. If a prelude required compression without sacrificing virtues of larger forms, this would be one way to do it — and an ingenious one at that.

The Prelude in B-flat major modifies the ternary form in a different way. As in the other ternaries Chopin designs section A1 to be harmonically closed; but now the upper-voice melody remains open, allowing for certain continuities with the chromatic middle section that follows. Further continuities emerge when Chopin sets the opening of A2 over a six-four. As A2 plays itself out, Chopin delays the return of tonic harmony until the end of the section while retaining the melodic openness featured earlier in A1, thus deferring closure to the coda. From this plan emerges a form that retains the compression desirable in a prelude but that also retains features of the more continuous designs that characterize other preludes in the set, and preludes generally. Indeed, connections of various kinds across the different sections, involving not only voice leading but such factors as register and thematic transformation, seem encouraged by the miniature quality of the form, impressing themselves more readily on the ear than is generally possible in larger ternaries.

The longest of the preludes, in D-flat major, comes closest to the ternaries in other genres, such as the nocturne, with a nearly complete small ternary in section A1, a middle section with two distinct repeated strains, and a full (if condensed)

reprise. If, as Eigeldinger maintains, one purpose of the Preludes Op. 28 was to offer a 'microcosm of [Chopin's] output, past and future', and if all three preludes discussed in this paper have the quality of punctuating the Op. 28 collection with quasi-nocturnes, it may have served the composer's purpose to present a fuller representative of that spacious and lyrical genre, and one that could readily stand alone as an independent piece. Even here, however, Chopin designs a more continuous form, not only through elements of texture (the famous repeated 'raindrops') but again through register and thematic transformation. Among other features, a dissonant seventh left hanging at the end of A1 finds its resolution, in register, only in A2, a resolution that initiates a concealed reference to the first three notes of the outer sections. In that sense, this prelude, like the others discussed in this paper, fosters voice-leading continuities and a thematic consistency that characterize the other preludes in Op. 28, and preludes generally, while still maintaining the elements of contrast that constitute one of the prime virtues of the ternary form.

Aims and repertoire studied

The paper aims to show how form and tonal structure interact differently in these three preludes than in many of Chopin's other ternary forms. The handling of closure in section A1, the rewriting of the reprises, and the design of the codas, bring these preludes closer to other works in Op. 28 than to most of the ternaries in other genres (such as the nocturnes).

Methods

The methodology is Schenkerian, as the analyses consider a full range of musical factors (counterpoint, harmony, rhythm, register, thematic design, and so forth), but it touches on questions of genre not usually associated with a Schenkerian approach.

Implications

Recent trends in the study of musical form (as shown by Hepokoski and Darcy's *Elements of Sonata Theory*) have given special attention to genre but consider tonal structure in only a general way. Schenkerians have studied form and voice leading in depth, but with the exception of a few studies, Schenkerians have tended to place less emphasis on genre. By considering form, genre, and voice leading together, this paper attempts to promote dialogue among these approaches.

Keywords

Chopin, preludes, form, genre, voice, leading, Schenker.

REFERENCES

- Caselli, Antonio, 2013. 'Chopin's Music in the Development of Schenker's Analytical Thought', in L. Poundie Burstein, Lynne

- Rogers, and Karen Bottge (eds.), *Essays from the Fourth International Schenker Symposium*, vol. 2. Hildesheim: Olms, 49–70.
- Damschroder, David, 2015. *Harmony in Chopin*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Eigeldinger, Jean-Jacques, 2003. *Frédéric Chopin*. Paris: Fayard.
- Hood, Alison, 2014. *Interpreting Chopin: Analysis and Performance*. Farnham: Ashgate.
- Hepokoski, James, and Warren Darcy, 2006. *Elements of Sonata Theory*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Kallberg, Jeffrey, 1992. ‘Small “forms”’: In Defence of the Prelude’, in Jim Samson (ed.), *The Cambridge Companion to Chopin*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 124–44.
- Kamien, Roger, 2016. ‘The Form of Chopin’s Prelude in B-flat Major, Op. 28, No. 21’, in David Beach and Su Yin Mak (eds.), *Explorations in Schenkerian Analysis*. Rochester: University of Rochester Press, 142–54.
- Korsyn, Kevin, 2003. *Decentering Music*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Petty, Judith V., 2006. ‘Register, Large-Scale Structure, and Piano Sound in Chopin’s Works of the 1830s’, in Artur Szklener (ed.), *Chopin in Paris: The 1830s*. Warsaw: Fryderyk Chopin Institute, 145–62.
- Leikin, Anatole, 2015. *The Mystery of Chopin’s Préludes*. Farnham: Ashgate.
- Rothstein, William, 1989. *Phrase Rhythm in Tonal Music*. New York: Schirmer Books, 1989. (Reprint Ann Arbor: Musicalia Press, 2007.)
- , 2005. ‘Like Falling off a Log: Rubato in Chopin’s Prelude in A-flat Major’, *Music Theory Online* 11/1.
- Schachter, Carl, 1980. ‘Rhythm and Linear Analysis: Durational Reduction’, in Felix Salzer (ed.), *The Music Forum*, vol. 5. New York: Columbia University Press, 197–232.
- , 2016. *The Art of Tonal Analysis: Twelve Lessons in Schenkerian Theory*, ed. Joseph N. Straus. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Schenker, Heinrich, n.d. Unpublished Analysis of Chopin, Prelude in D-flat Major. New York Public Library, Oster Collection, File 32.